ALAMOS CANYON MITIGATIONS/ENHANCEMENTS, 4/06

I) Canyon West Corridor

Currently the Canyon West culvert is a non-functional passageway for wildlife.

Viable linkage between Simi Pros: Hills and Santa Susana Mtns

for larger wildlife

Cons: Steep path on approach

Running water in culvert

Debris in culvert

Poor visibility through

culvert

BIOLOGICAL IMPORTANCE = 4 (1-

10 scale, 1 is highest)

Mitigations/Enhancements (Passageway Structural Improvements)

Proposal 1: Twin culverts enlarged into one bridge or undercrossing under 118.

Pros:

- More suitable size for large and medium-sized mammals
- Decreased angle of steep approach
- Improved line-of-sight through undercrossing

Cons:

Cost: HIGH (>\$2.000.000)

Proposal 2: Twin culverts would not be combined, but steep approach regraded and entrances lowered on north side.

Pros: Easier approach

> • Improved line-of-sight through undercrossing

Cons:

MODERATE (\$500,000-Cost:

\$2,000,000)

Proposal 3: Raised 5-foot wide"catwalk" inside one or both culverts and run their entire length.

Pros:

- Decreased angle of steep approach
- Above running water and debris

222 Cons:

Cost: LOW (<\$500,000)

II) Canyon Road Undercrossing

Currently functional corridor for multiple medium and large animals.

Pros:

- Short traverse
- Good visibility through corridor
- Natural lighting in corridor
- Native vegetation
- Flat

Cons:

• Two-lane asphalt road in the middle of passageway

• Encourages more reclusive

- Human traffic
- Dumping
- Homeless camps

Mitigations/Enhancements

Proposal 1. Increase vegetative cover with trees and shrubs along Alamos Canyon Road.

species to use underpass

Pros:

Cons:

LOW (<\$500,000) Cost:

222

Proposal 2. Paved road ripped and changed to decomposed granite surface. removal of barbed wire fencing.

• More inviting corridor Pros:

surface

• Less restricted wildlife movement

Cons:

Cost: LOW (<\$500,000)

Proposal 3. Gate installed near intersection of road and railroad tracks, removal of homeless camps.

 Reduces human traffic & Pros: impact

• Reduces dumping

Cons: • Removal of camps

> represents a social issue and potential social cost

LOW (<\$500,000) Cost:

Proposal 4. Enlarged undercrossings at railroad crossing and Los Angeles Ave, conservation easement along Alamos West Canvon Creek to Arrovo Simi. earthen-bottomed 15-foot culvert (min.) plus 500-foot wide habitat buffer.

Pros:

 Removes wildlife barriers (railroad bridge and toosmall culvert at LA Ave)

Cons: • Doesn't address train

disturbance of wildlife

Cost: HIGH (>\$2,000,000)

III) Canyon East Corridor

Currently the Canyon East culvert is a non-functional passageway for wildlife.

• Viable linkage between Simi Hills and Santa Susana Mtns for existing wildlife

Cons: Size constraints

Too long

Mitigations/Enhancements

Proposal 1. Increase vegetative cover along drainage.

Pros: • Encourages more reclusive species to utilize the

underpass

??? Cons:

LOW (<\$500,000) Cost:

Proposal 2. Enlarge culvert with "tunnel jacking".

Pros: • Alleviates size constraints

• Enhance visibility through culvert

Cons:

Cost: HIGH (>\$2,000,000)

General Mitigations for All Alamos Canyon Proposals

- 1) Install wildlife fencing
- 2) Reduce human disturbance
 - a) install a gate (Canyon Road)
 - b) remove homeless camps
 - c) routine law enforcement patrols
- 3) Address barriers to wildlife movement south of 118
 - a) railroad tracks
 - b) Los Angeles Avenue
 - c) developed areas